# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### SAN JOSE DIVISION

SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Case No. 5:13-cv-05658-LHK
Plaintiff, )	OMNIBUS ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL
v. )  NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE CO. )  OF PITTSBURGH, PA., et al., )  Defendants. )	(Re: Docket Nos. 80, 94, 109, 114, 118, 120, 123, 125)

Before the court are eight administrative motions to seal several documents. "Historically, courts have recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, "a 'strong presumption in favor of access' is the starting point." Parties seeking to seal judicial records relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.<sup>3</sup>

Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n. 7 (1978)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. (quoting Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 1178-79.

However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain

1

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm 2 their competitive interest." Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject 3 4 to the strong presumption of access.<sup>5</sup> Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions 5 "are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving 6 to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c). As with dispositive motions, the 7 standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing" that "specific 8 prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed. Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice. 9 A protective order 10 sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good 12 cause exists to keep the documents sealed, <sup>10</sup> but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to 13 designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether 14 each particular document should remain sealed. 11 15

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See id. at 1180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").

is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable." <sup>13</sup>

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motions as follows:

Motion to Seal	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
80	Exhibit B	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit C	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit D	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit E	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit G	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit I	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit K	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential

Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unredacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

			business information.
80	Exhibit L	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit M	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
80	Exhibit N	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
94	Exhibit A	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
94	Exhibit B	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
94	Exhibit C	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
109	Motion to Compel	Only designations highlighted in yellow at 7:4-8 at Docket No. 109-5 SEALED; all other designations UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
109	Declaration in Support of Motion to Compel	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
109	Exhibit O	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
109	Exhibit P	Only designations highlighted in yellow at 14:13-16:11; 47:9-48:20; 53:22-55:4; 59:14-60:19; 61:18-62:17; 96:10-97:15 at Docket No. 109-6 Exh. P SEALED; all other designations UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
114	Motion to Compel	Only designations highlighted in yellow at 2:17-18; 5:12-16; 6:1-3; 7:17-21; 9:3-9; 9:13-14; 10:17-22; 11:10-12 at Docket No. 114-5 SEALED; all other	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

Case No. 5:13-cv-05658-LHK OMNIBUS ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL

		designations UNSEALED.	
114	Exhibit 5	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
118	SST's Opposition to Motion to Compel	Only designations highlighted in yellow at 7:4-6, 12:4-22, 13:13-17, 14:9-21 at Docket No. 118-4 SEALED; all other designations UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
118	Exhibit B	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
118	Exhibit C	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
120	Exhibit E	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
123	National Union's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
125	Reply in Support of Motion to Compel	Only designations highlighted in yellow at 2:20-23, 3:3-4, 5:3-28, 6:1-5, 6:7-9, 10:12-15 at Docket No. 125-5 SEALED; all other designations UNSEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
125	Exhibit R	Designations highlighted in yellow at Docket No. 125-6 SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential business information.
125	Exhibit S	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential business information.

# SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2015

PAUL S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

OMNIBUS ORDER RE: MOTIONS TO SEAL